the Wolfsberg Group

	INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK
Financial Institution Name:	
Si.	INDIA
Location (Country) :	

The questionnaire is required to be answered on a Legal Entity (LE) Level. The Financial Institution should answer the questionnaire at the legal entity level including any branches for which the client base, products and control model are materially similar to the LE Head Office. This questionnaire should not cover more than one LE. Each question in the CBDDQ will need to be addressed from the perspective of the LE and on behalf of all of its branches. If a response for the LE differs for one of its branches, this needs to be highlighted and details regarding this difference captured at the end of each sub-section. If a branch's business activity (products offered, client base etc.) is materially different than its Entity Head Office, a separate questionnaire can be completed for that branch.

No#	Question	Answer
1. ENTI	TY & OWNERSHIP	
1	Full Legal Name	INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK
2	Append a list of foreign branches which are covered by this questionnaire	Singapore,Hong Kong,Thailand,Colombo
3	Full Legal (Registered) Address	763, ANNA SALAI, CHENNAI - 600002, TAMILNADU, INDIA.
4	Full Primary Business Address (if different from above)	SAME AS ABOVE
5	Date of Entity incorporation/ establishment	10TH FEBRUARY 1937
6	Select type of ownership and append an ownership chart if available	
6 a	Publicly Traded (25% of shares publicly traded)	No 🖳
6 a1	If Y, indicate the exchange traded on and ticker symbol	Not Applicable
6 b	Member Owned/ Mutual	No Section 1
6 c	Government or State Owned by 25% or more	Yes
î d	Privately Owned	No S
6 d1	If Y, provide details of shareholders or ultimate beneficial owners with a holding of 10% or more	Not Applicable
,	% of the Entity's total shares composed of bearer shares	NIL
	Does the Entity, or any of its branches, operate under an Offshore Banking License (OBL)?	No Total
a	If Y, provide the name of the relevant branch/es which operate under an OBL	Not Applicable
	Name of primary financial regulator / supervisory authority	RESERVE BANK OF INDIA



10	Provide Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) if available	335800QFIPQ9AFX1CS84
	,,	
11	Provide the full legal name of the ultimate parent (if different from the Entity completing the DDQ)	Not Applicable
12	Jurisdiction of licensing authority and regulator of ultimate parent	India
13	Select the business areas applicable to the Entity	
13 a	Retail Banking	Yes
13 b	Private Banking / Wealth Management	No V
13 c	Commercial Banking	Yes
13 d	Transactional Banking	Yes
13 e	Investment Banking	No 🔻
13 f	Financial Markets Trading	Yes
13 g	Securities Services / Custody	Yes 🔻
13 h	Broker / Dealer	No No
13 i	Multilateral Development Bank	No 🖳
13 j	Other	
14	customers primarily resident in a different jurisdiction to the location where bank services are provided.)	No
14 a	If Y, provide the top five countries where the non- resident customers are located.	Not Applicable
15	Select the closest value:	
15 a	Number of employees	10001+
15 b	Total Assets	Greater than \$500 million
16	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section ENTITY & OWNERSHIP are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
16 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable
16 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.	



A	DDUCTS & SERVICES		1
17	Does the Entity offer the following products and services:		
17 a	Correspondent Banking	Yes	3
17 a1	If Y		
17 a2	Does the Entity offer Correspondent Banking services to domestic banks?	No	179
17 a3	Does the Entity allow domestic bank clients to provide downstream relationships?	No	[·
17 a4	Does the Entity have processes and procedures in place to identify downstream relationships with domestic banks?		
17 a5	Does the Entity offer correspondent banking services to Foreign Banks?	Yes	-
17 a6	Does the Entity allow downstream relationships with Foreign Banks?	No	ببنا
17 a7	Does the Entity have processes and procedures in place to identify downstream relationships with Foreign Banks?	Yes	-
17 a8	Does the Entity offer correspondent banking services to regulated MSBs/MVTS?	No	250
17 a9	Does the Entity allow downstream relationships with MSBs/MVTS?	No	-
17 a10	Does the Entity have processes and procedures in place to identify downstream relationships with MSB /MVTS?		-
17 b	Private Banking (domestic & international)	No	
7 с	Trade Finance	Yes	
17 d	Payable Through Accounts	No	-
7 e	Stored Value Instruments	Yes	
17 f	Cross Border Bulk Cash Delivery	No	-
7 g	Domestic Bulk Cash Delivery	No	-
7 h	International Cash Letter	Yes	-
71	Remote Deposit Capture	No	
7 j	Virtual /Digital Currencies	No	~
7 k	Low Price Securities	No	•
71	Hold Mail	No	¥
7 m	Cross Border Remittances	Yes	_
7 n	Service to walk-in customers (non-account holders)	Yes	~
7 0	Sponsoring Private ATMs	No	~
7 р	Other high risk products and services identified by the Entity	No other High Risk Products identified by the Entity	
8	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section PRODUCTS & SERVICES are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	•
3 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable	
8 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.	17n. Remittance services with a monetary limit of INR 50,000/- and above. Customer Identificati is carried out for all walk in customers.	ion



	CTF & SANGTIONS PROGRAMME		
9	Does the Entity have a programme that sets minimum AML, CTF and Sanctions standards regarding the following components:		
9 a	Appointed Officer with sufficient experience/expertise	Yes	*
9 b	Cash Reporting	Yes	-
9 c	COD	Yes	
9 d	EDD	Yes	-
9 e	Beneficial Ownership	Yes	-
9 f	Independent Testing	Yes	,
9 g	Periodic Review	Yes	
9 h	Policies and Procedures	Yes	-
191	Risk Assessment	Yes	-
19 j	Sanctions	Yes	-
19 k	PEP Screening	Yes	-
91	Adverse Information Screening	Yes	Ī
19 m	Suspicious Activity Reporting	Yes	T
19 n	Training and Education	Yes	-
19 o	Transaction Monitoring	Yes	-
20	How many full time employees are in the Entity's AML, CTF & Sanctions Compliance Department?	10-50	,
21	Is the Entity's AML, CTF & Sanctions policy approved at least annually by the Board or equivalent Senior Management Committee?	Yes	-
22	Does the Board or equivalent Senior Management Committee receive regular reporting on the status of the AML, CTF & Sanctions programme?	Quarterly/Every three months	
23	Does the Entity use third parties to carry out any components of its AML, CTF & Sanctions programme?	No	
23 a	If Y, provide further details	Not Applicable	
24	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section AML, CTF & SANCTIONS Programme are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	
24 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable	
24 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.		



25	Hos the Entity decreases at a state of a state of a		
25	Has the Entity documented policies and procedures consistent with applicable ABC		
	regulations and requirements to [reasonably]	Yes	
	prevent, detect and report bribery and		
	corruption?		
26	Does the Entity have an enterprise wide	Yes	
7	programme that sets minimum ABC standards?		
14	Has the Entity appointed a designated officer or officers with sufficient experience/expertise		
	responsible for coordinating the ABC	Yes	
	programme?	* g	
8	Does the Entity have adequate staff with		
	appropriate levels of experience/expertise to	Yes	
	implement the ABC programme?		
29	Is the Entity's ABC programme applicable to:	Both joint ventures and third parties acting on behalf of the Entity	
30	Does the Entity have a global ABC policy that:		
	3.004, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100,		
30 a	Prohibits the giving and receiving of bribes?		
	This includes promising, offering, giving,	lv	8
	solicitation or receiving of anything of value, directly or indirectly, if improperly intended to	Yes	i
	influence action or obtain an advantage		
30 b	Includes enhanced requirements regarding	Yes	
	interaction with public officials?	103	
Ю с	includes a prohibition against the falsification of		
	books and records (this may be within the ABC	Yes	
	policy or any other policy applicable to the Legal Entity)?		
31	Does the Entity have controls in place to monitor		
	the effectiveness of their ABC programme?	Yes	ı
32	Does the Entity's Board or Senior Management		
	Committee receive regular Management	Yes	
	Information on ABC matters?		
13	Does the Entity perform an Enterprise Wide	Yes	
33 a	ABC risk assessment?		
10 a	If Y select the frequency	12 Months	Ì
4	Does the Entity have an ABC residual risk rating		<u>_</u>
	that is the net result of the controls effectiveness	Yes	Δ
	and the inherent risk assessment?		- 1
15	Does the Entity's ABC EWRA cover the inherent		
	risk components detailed below:		
5 a	Potential liability greated by intermediation and		
J D	Potential liability created by intermediaries and other third-party providers as appropriate	Yes	
5 b	Corruption risks associated with the countries		
	and industries in which the Entity does business,	Yes	
	directly or through intermediaries		
5 с	Transactions, products or services, including		
	those that involve state-owned or state-	Yes	
P 4	controlled entities or public officials		
5 d	Corruption risks associated with gifts and	Va.	
	hospitality, hiring/internships, charitable donations and political contributions	Yes	ľ
5 е	Changes in business activities that may		
	materially increase the Entity's corruption risk	Yes	
6	Does the Entity's internal audit function or other		غا ا
	independent third party cover ABC Policies and	Yes	2
	Procedures?		18



37	Does the Entity provide mandatory ABC training to:	
37 a	Board and senior Committee Management	Yes
37 b	1st Line of Defence	Yes
37 с	2nd Line of Defence	Yes
37 d	3rd Line of Defence	Yes
37 e	3rd parties to which specific compliance activities subject to ABC risk have been outsourced	No Vo
37 f	Non-employed workers as appropriate (contractors/consultants)	Yes
38	Does the Entity provide ABC training that is targeted to specific roles, responsibilities and activities?	Yes
39	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section Anti Bribery & Corruption are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
39 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable
39 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.	Our Bank has a code of Ethics applicable to all the employees, which covers all the aspects of Anti Bribery and Corruption.



	CTF & SANCTIONS POLICIES & PROCE	EDURES
40	Has the Entity documented policies and procedures consistent with applicable AML, CTF & Sanctions regulations and requirements to	
40 a	reasonably prevent, detect and report: Money laundering	
		Yes
40 b	Terrorist financing	Yes
40 с	Sanctions violations	Yes
41	Are the Entity's policies and procedures updated at least annually?	Yes
42	Are the Entity's policies and procedures gapped	
42 a	against/compared to: US Standards	No [
42 a1	If Y, does the Entity retain a record of the	NO L
42 b	results?	
		No
\$2 b1	If Y, does the Entity retain a record of the results?	
13	Does the Entity have policies and procedures that:	
13 a	Prohibit the opening and keeping of anonymous and fictitious named accounts	Yes
13 b	Prohibit the opening and keeping of accounts for	Yes L
13 c	unlicensed banks and/or NBFIs Prohibit dealing with other entities that provide	
13 d	banking services to unlicensed banks Prohibit accounts/relationships with shell banks	<u>1</u>
13 e		Yes
	Prohibit dealing with another entity that provides services to shell banks	Yes
13 f	Prohibit opening and keeping of accounts for Section 311 designated entities	Yes
13 g	Prohibit opening and keeping of accounts for any of unlicensed/unregulated remittance	
	agents, exchanges houses, casa de cambio, bureaux de change or money transfer agents	Yes
3 h	Assess the risks of relationships with domestic	
	and foreign PEPs, including their family and close associates	Yes
3	Define escalation processes for financial crime risk issues	Yes
3 ј	Define the process, where appropriate, for terminating existing customer relationships due	Yes
	to financial crime risk	165
3 k	Specify how potentially suspicious activity identified by employees is to be escalated and	Yes
31	investigated Outline the processes regarding screening for	Von
3 m	sanctions, PEPs and negative media Outline the processes for the maintenance of	Yes
	internal "watchlists"	Yes
4	Has the Entity defined a risk tolerance statement or similar document which defines a risk	Yes
5	boundary around their business? Does the Entity have a record retention	
- 5 a	procedures that comply with applicable laws? If Y, what is the retention period?	Yes
		5 years or more
6	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section POLICIES & PROCEDURES are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
6 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable
6 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.	Our Policies & Procedures are in line with Regulations/Master Directions issued by Reserve Bank of India.
	अवि	The state of the s
	An overse	an : ' NA

47	Does the Entity's AML & CTF EWRA cover the		
	inherent risk components detailed below:		
47 a	Client	Yes	-
47 b	Product	Yes	-
17 c	Channel	Yes	-
17 d	Geography	Yes	
48	Does the Entity's AML & CTF EWRA cover the controls effectiveness components detailed below:		1000
48 a	Transaction Monitoring	Yes	-
48 b	Customer Due Diligence	Yes	-
48 c	PEP Identification	Yes	
48 d	Transaction Screening	Yes	-
48 e	Name Screening against Adverse Media & Negative News	Yes	-
48 f	Training and Education	Yes	incom
48 g	Governance	Yes	
48 h	Management Information	Yes	-
49	Has the Entity's AML & CTF EWRA been completed in the last 12 months?	Yes	
49 a	If N, provide the date when the last AML & CTF EWRA was completed.	Not Applicable	
50	Does the Entity's Sanctions EWRA cover the inherent risk components detailed below:		
50 a	Client	Yes	•
50 b	Product	Yes ,	-
50 с	Channel	Yes	-
50 d	Geography	Yes	



51	Does the Entity's Sanctions EWRA cover the controls effectiveness components detailed below:		
51 a	Customer Due Diligence	Yes	
51 b	Transaction Screening	Yes	-
51 c	Name Screening	Yes	-
51 d	List Management	Yes	-
51 e	Training and Education	Yes	
51 f	Governance	Yes	
51 g	Management Information	Yes	V
52	Has the Entity's Sanctions EWRA been completed in the last 12 months?	Yes	
52 a	If N, provide the date when the last Sanctions EWRA was completed.	Not Applicable	
53	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section AML, CTF & SANCTIONS RISK ASSESSMENT are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	
53 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable	
53 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.		



54	Does the Entity verify the identity of the		
34	customer?	Yes	₹
55	Do the Entity's policies and procedures set out when CDD must be completed, e.g. at the time of onboarding or within 30 days	Yes	•
56	Which of the following does the Entity gather and retain when conducting CDD? Select all that apply:		
56 a	Ownership structure	Yes	*
56 b	Customer identification	Yes	-
56 c	Expected activity	Yes	*
56 d	Nature of business/employment	Yes	-
56 e	Product usage	Yes	- / ·
56 f	Purpose and nature of relationship	Yes	-
56 g	Source of funds	Yes	-
56 h	Source of wealth	Yes	
57	Are each of the following identified:		
57 a	Ultimate beneficial ownership	Yes	▼
57 a1	Are ultimate beneficial owners verified?	Yes	-
57 b	Authorised signatories (where applicable)	Yes	•
57 c	Key controllers	Yes	¥
57 d	Other relevant parties		
58	What is the Entity's minimum (lowest) threshold applied to beneficial ownership identification?	Other (Specify the percentage)15%,Companies25%	-
59	Does the due diligence process result in customers receiving a risk classification?	Yes	-



60	If Y, what factors/criteria are used to determine the customer's risk classification? Select all that apply:		
60 a	Product Usage	Yes	*
60 b	Geography	Yes	-
60 c	Business Type/Industry	Yes	-
60 d	Legal Entity type	Yes	-
60 e	Adverse Information	Yes	-
60 f	Other (specify)	OCCUPATION/NATURE OF BUSINESS	muci
61	Does the Entity have a risk based approach to screening customers for adverse media/negative news?	Yes	
62	If Y, is this at:		dunil
62 a	Onboarding	Yes	
62 b	KYC renewal	Yes	V
62 c	Trigger event	Yes	
63	What is the method used by the Entity to screen for adverse media / negative news?	Combination of automated and manual	
64	Does the Entity have a risk based approach to screening customers and connected parties to determine whether they are PEPs, or controlled by PEPs?	Yes	
65	If Y, is this at:		tannin.
65 a	Onboarding	Yes	
65 Ь	KYC renewal	Yes	
65 c	Trigger event	Yes	
66	What is the method used by the Entity to screen PEPs?	Combination of automated and manual	P
67	Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to review and escalate potential matches from screening customers and connected parties to determine whether they are PEPs, or controlled by PEPs?	Yes	
38	Does the Entity have a process to review and update customer information based on:		
58 a	KYC renewal	Yes	V
d 88	Trigger event	Yes	v
9	Does the Entity maintain and report metrics on current and past periodic or trigger event due diligence reviews?	Yes	¥



70	From the list below, which categories of customers or industries are subject to EDD and/or are restricted, or prohibited by the Entity's FCC programme?		
70 a	Non-account customers	EDD on a risk based approach	*
70 b	Non-resident customers	EDD on a risk based approach	7
70 с	Shell banks	Prohibited	•
70 d	MVTS/ MSB customers	EDD on a risk based approach	-
70 e	PEPs	EDD on a risk based approach	_
70 f	PEP Related	EDD on a risk based approach	
70 g	PEP Close Associate	EDD on a risk based approach	~
70 h	Correspondent Banks	EDD on a risk based approach	<u> </u>
70 h1	If EDD or EDD & restricted, does the EDD assessment contain the elements as set out in the Wolfsberg Correspondent Banking Principles 2014?	Yes	•
70 i	Arms, defense, military	EDD on a risk based approach	~
70 j	Atomic power	EDD on a risk based approach	•
70 k	Extractive industries	EDD on a risk based approach	•
70 I	Precious metals and stones	EDD on a risk based approach	-
70 m	Unregulated charities	Prohibited	•
70 n	Regulated charities	EDD on a risk based approach	-
70 o	Red light business / Adult entertainment	Prohibited	~
70 p	Non-Government Organisations	EDD on a risk based approach	*
70 q	Virtual currencies	Prohibited	-
70 r	Marijuana	Prohibited	~
70 s	Embassies/Consulates	EDD on a risk based approach	V
70 t	Gambling	Prohibited	•
70 u	Payment Service Provider	EDD on a risk based approach	~
70 v	Other (specify)	planter construction as some plants of people of the construction	anto.
71	If restricted, provide details of the restriction	Not Applicable	
72	Does the Entity perform an additional control or quality review on clients subject to EDD?	Yes	~
73	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section KYC, CDD and EDD are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	•
73 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to	Not Applicable	
73 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.	Q.63: All the existing customers shall be screened against Adverse media/ Negative News /UNS list/OFAC and in case of positive matches, SAR will be filed with FIU-India. This is driven by bot automated and manual.	



	T .	1
procedures and monitoring processes for the identification and reporting of suspicious activity?	Yes	
What is the method used by the Entity to monitor transactions for suspicious activities?	Automated	¥
If manual or combination selected, specify what type of transactions are monitored manually	Not Applicable	
Does the Entity have regulatory requirements to report suspicious transactions?	Yes	7
If Y, does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to comply with suspicious transaction reporting requirements?	Yes	
Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to review and escalate matters arising from the monitoring of customer transactions and activity?	Yes	X
Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section MONITORING & REPORTING are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	
If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to	Not Applicable	L
If appropriate, provide any additional information // context to the answers in this section.		
	activity? What is the method used by the Entity to monitor transactions for suspicious activities? If manual or combination selected, specify what type of transactions are monitored manually Does the Entity have regulatory requirements to report suspicious transactions? If Y, does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to comply with suspicious transaction reporting requirements? Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to review and escalate matters arising from the monitoring of customer transactions and activity? Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section MONITORING & REPORTING are representative of all the LE's branches If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to	If manual or combination selected, specify what type of transactions are monitored manually Does the Entity have regulatory requirements to report suspicious transactions? If Y, does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to comply with suspicious transaction reporting requirements? Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to review and escalate matters arising from the monitoring of customer transactions and activity? Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section MONITORING & REPORTING are representative of all the LE's branches If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to Automated Not Applicable Yes Yes Yes If appropriate, provide any additional information



80	Does the Entity adhere to the Wolfsberg Group Payment Transparency Standards?	Yes	.
B1	Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to [reasonably] comply with and have controls in place to ensure compliance with:		
81 a	FATF Recommendation 16	Yes	•
81 b	Local Regulations	Yes	
81 b1	Specify the regulation	Prevention of Money Laundering (PMLA) Act 2002	
81 c	If N, explain	Not Applicable	
82	Does the Entity have processes in place to respond to Request For Information (RFIs) from other entities in a timely manner?	Yes	
83	Does the Entity have controls to support the inclusion of required and accurate originator information in international payment messages?	Yes	
84	Does the Entity have controls to support the inclusion of required beneficiary information international payment messages?	Yes	•
85	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section PAYMENT TRANSPARENCY are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	
85 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable	
85 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.		



pes the Entity have a Sanctions Policy proved by management regarding proved with, or through accounts held at reign financial institutions? Does the Entity have policies, procedures, or her controls reasonably designed to prevent a use of another entity's accounts or services a manner causing the other entity to violate notions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly's local jurisdiction)? Her the Entity have policies, procedures or her controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? Her Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly treafter against Sanctions Lists? Her Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Yes Automated Yes	
mpliance with sanctions law applicable to the titly, including with respect its business notucted with, or through accounts held at reign financial institutions? Desire the Entity have policies, procedures, or ner controls reasonably designed to prevent a use of another entity's accounts or services a manner causing the other entity to violate notions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly slocal jurisdiction)? Desire the Entity have policies, procedures or ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit dor detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? Desire Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? Desire Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location or masting, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
nducted with, or through accounts held at reign financial institutions? besthe Entity have policies, procedures, or ner controls reasonably designed to prevent a manner causing the other entity to violate nections prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly's local jurisdiction)? hes the Entity have policies, procedures or ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable nections prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? The Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? The Entity screen all sanctions relevant at including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
nducted with, or through accounts held at reign financial institutions? Less the Entity have policies, procedures, or ner controls reasonably designed to prevent a use of another entity's accounts or services a manner causing the other entity to violate notions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly's local jurisdiction)? Less the Entity have policies, procedures or ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? Less the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? List the method used by the Entity? Less the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
reign financial institutions? pes the Entity have policies, procedures, or ner controls reasonably designed to prevent a use of another entity's accounts or services a manner causing the other entity to violate nctions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly (including prohibitions)? pes the Entity have policies, procedures or ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable nctions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? Pes the Entity screen its customers, including nefficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? Pes the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
ses the Entity have policies, procedures, or ner controls reasonably designed to prevent a use of another entity's accounts or services a manner causing the other entity to violate notions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly's local jurisdiction)? We see the Entity have policies, procedures or ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? See the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? The Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
ner controls reasonably designed to prevent a use of another entity's accounts or services a manner causing the other entity to violate notions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly's local jurisdiction)? Here the Entity have policies, procedures or ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? Here the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly treafter against Sanctions Lists? Here the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
a use of another entity's accounts or services a manner causing the other entity to violate nctions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly's local jurisdiction)? The set he Entity have policies, procedures or her controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable inctions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? The Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? The Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
a manner causing the other entity to violate notions prohibitions applicable to the other titity (including prohibitions within the other titity (including prohibitions within the other titity (including prohibitions)? The set the Entity have policies, procedures or the controls reasonably designed to prohibit of detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? The est the Entity screen its customers, including nefficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? The est the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
nctions prohibitions applicable to the other titly (including prohibitions within the other titly (including prohibitions) within the other titly (including prohibition)? we she Entity have policies, procedures or the controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable nctions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? that is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Yes Automated	
tity (including prohibitions within the other tity's local jurisdiction)? here the Entity have policies, procedures or her controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? Here the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the tity, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? Here the thity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Automated	
tity's local jurisdiction)? res the Entity have policies, procedures or her controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable inctions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the tity, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? at is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Automated	
ses the Entity have policies, procedures or ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly treafter against Sanctions Lists? nat is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Automated	
ner controls reasonably designed to prohibit d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable nections prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border neactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including nefficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? nat is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border neactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Automated	
d/or detect actions taken to evade applicable notions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? nat is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Automated	
nctions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the submission and/or masking, of sanctions event information in cross border insactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the tity, during onboarding and regularly greater against Sanctions Lists? nat is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Automated	
submission and/or masking, of sanctions evant information in cross border insactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the tity, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? at is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes Automated	
evant information in cross border insactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including nefficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? eat is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Automated	
nsactions? es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the titly, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? nat is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Automated	
es the Entity screen its customers, including neficial ownership information collected by the tity, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? at is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Automated	The state of the s
neficial ownership information collected by the fitty, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? and is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border isactions against Sanctions Lists?	Automated	The second second
tity, during onboarding and regularly reafter against Sanctions Lists? hat is the method used by the Entity? He see the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location primation, contained in cross border insactions against Sanctions Lists?	Automated	
reafter against Sanctions Lists? at is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location brighter contained in cross border bractions against Sanctions Lists?	Automated	
nat is the method used by the Entity? es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location brimation, contained in cross border asactions against Sanctions Lists?		
es the Entity screen all sanctions relevant a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border nsactions against Sanctions Lists?		A Section Comment
a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border asactions against Sanctions Lists?		The second second
a, including at a minimum, entity and location ormation, contained in cross border asactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes	Married Ann. No.
ormation, contained in cross border nsactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes	TOTAL S
sactions against Sanctions Lists?		
at is the method used by the Entity?	1	140
	Automated	
ect the Sanctions Lists used by the Entity in		
sanctions screening processes:		
nsolidated United Nations Security Council		-
	Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data	
	Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data	-
	Not used	
opean Union Consolidat80 LIST(EU)	Not used	-
s maintained by other G7 mambas assisting		
a maintained by other G7 member countries	Not used	
er (specify)		
estion removed		Lexus
Constitution of the second second second		
en regulatory authorities make updates to		
tomer Data		40
		80
5	Same day to 2 business days	4
programa		
ISACIONS		3
1		
	Same day to 2 business days	4 70
THE REPORT OF LANDING	ctions List (UN) ed States Department of the Treasury's ce of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) ce of Financial Sanctions Implementation (OFSI) opean Union Consolidated List (EU) s maintained by other G7 member countries er (specify) stion removed on regulatory authorities make updates to Sanctions list, how many business days re the entity updates their active manual and/ utomated screening systems against:	Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data ed States Department of the Treasury's the of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) to ed Financial Sanctions Implementation (OFSI) Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data



96	Does the Entity have a physical presence, e.g., branches, subsidiaries, or representative offices located in countries/regions against which UN, OFAC, OFSI, EU and G7 member countries have enacted comprehensive jurisdiction-based Sanctions?	No **
97	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section SANCTIONS are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
97 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable
97 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.	





98	Does the Entity provide mandatory training,		-
	which includes:		
98 a	Identification and reporting of transactions to government authorities	Yes	¥
98 b	Examples of different forms of money laundering, terrorist financing and sanctions violations relevant for the types of products and services offered	Yes	
98 c	Internal policies for controlling money laundering, terrorist financing and sanctions violations	Yes	•
98 d	New issues that occur in the market, e.g., significant regulatory actions or new regulations	Yes	-
98 e	Conduct and Culture	Yes	Y
99	Is the above mandatory training provided to :		PAID AND
99 a	Board and Senior Committee Management	Yes	
99 b	1st Line of Defence	Yes	-
99 с	2nd Line of Defence	Yes	~
99 d	3rd Line of Defence	Yes	J
99 e	3rd parties to which specific FCC activities have been outsourced	Not Applicable	
99 f	Non-employed workers (contractors/consultants)	Not Applicable	•
100	Does the Entity provide AML, CTF & Sanctions training that is targeted to specific roles, responsibilities and high risk products, services and activities?	Yes	-
101	Does the Entity provide customised training for AML, CTF and Sanctions staff?	Yes	v
102	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section TRAINING & EDUCATION are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	•
102 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable	
02 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.		_



103	Are the Entity's KYC processes and documents subject to quality assurance testing?	Yes	*
104	Does the Entity have a program wide risk based Compliance Testing process (separate to the independent Audit function)?	Yes	<u> </u>
105	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section QUALITY ASSURANCE / COMPLIANCE TESTING are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	
105 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable	
105 b	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.		



13. A	- Marie		
106	In addition to inspections by the government supervisors/regulators, does the Entity have an internal audit function, a testing function or other independent third party, or both, that assesses FCC AML, CTF and Sanctions policies and practices on a regular basis?	Yes	
107	How often is the Entity audited on its AML, CTF & Sanctions programme by the following:		
107 a	Internal Audit Department	Yearly	
107 Ь	External Third Party	Yearly	Ì
108	Does the internal audit function or other independent third party cover the following areas:		
108 a	AML, CTF & Sanctions policy and procedures	Yes	1
108 Ь	KYC / CDD / EDD and underlying methodologies	Yes	1
108 c	Transaction Monitoring	Yes	Ī
08 d	Transaction Screening including for sanctions	Yes	1
08 e	Name Screening & List Management	Yes	j
08 f	Training & Education	Yes	i
08 g	Technology	Yes	Ì
08 h	Governance	Yes	İ
i 80	Reporting/Metrics & Management Information	Yes	Ī
08 j	Suspicious Activity Filing	Yes	
08 k	Enterprise Wide Risk Assessment	Yes	
081	Other (specify)		
09	Are adverse findings from internal & external audit tracked to completion and assessed for adequacy and completeriess?	Yes	
10	Confirm that all responses provided in the above section, AUDIT are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes	
10 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Not Applicable	
0 Ь	If appropriate, provide any additional information / context to the answers in this section.		_

Declaration Statement	
Wolfsberg Group Correspondent Banking Due Dilige Declaration Statement (To be signed by Global Head Anti- Money Laundering, Chief Compliance Officer, G	nce Questionnaire 2020 (CBDDQ V1.3) d of Correspondent Banking or equivalent position holder AND Group Money Laundering Prevention Officer, Global Head of slobal Head of Financial Crimes Compliance OR equivalent)
INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK	
every effort to remain in full compliance with all applic	Financial Institution name) is fully committed to the fight against financial crime and makes able financial crime laws, regulations and standards in all of the jurisdictions in which it does business and holds accounts.
The Financial Institution understands the critical importagal and regulatory obligations.	ortance of having effective and sustainable controls to combat financial crime in order to protect its reputation and to meet its
The Financial Institution recognises the importance o standards.	of transparency regarding parties to transactions in international payments and has adopted/is committed to adopting these
The Financial Institution further certifies it complies was the information provided in this Wolfsberg CBDDQ with the information provided in this Wolfsberg CBDDQ with the information provided in the information provided in the information of the information o	with / is working to comply with the Wolfsberg Correspondent Banking Principles and the Wolfsberg Trade Finance Principles. Ill be kept current and will be updated no less frequently than on an annual basis.
The Financial Institution commits to file accurate supp	plemental information on a timely basis.
GOPALS	(Global Head of Correspondent Banking or equivalent), certify that I have read and understood this declaration, that
the answers provided in this Wolfsberg CBODQ are of institution.	complete and correct to my honest ballaf, and that I am authorised to execute this declaration on behalf of the Financial
SUDHIR PRASAD THAKUR	AND CONTRACTOR AND
Wolfsberg CBDDQ are complete and correct to my h	(MLRO or equivalent), certify that I have read and understood this declaration, that the answers provided in this onest belief, and that I am authorised to execute this declaration on behalf of the Financial Institution.
Self- alterial	AZ)
19312	(Signature & Date)
Shell-	(Signature & Date)
24/	12g23 (* (central Office) (大)
0 11	The state of the s